FOR A SOCIALIST Germany: PROGRAMME

Burma, which they proudly proclaim as socialist foreign policy. Churchill's policy would have meant two major wars for Britain in those countries.

Their solution for enfeebled British Imperialism is to cut her losses and look elsewhere for a base. Britain should make the best of a bad job in the Far and Middle East, and concentrate on her African Empire.
"When we (it is noteworthy

that right throughout the pamphlet when talking of 'we' they never distinguish between the workers and the capitalists) leave India in June, 1948, we shall leave the Indian shall lose the use of the Indian Army, which provided a substantial reserve in the Far East. Nothing has so far been done to enlist other Empire troops to help us out. The Indian Army, whatever the motives for its formation, was a great educative institution. The same could be done for the West and East Africans as well. The pay and the conditions would be an attractive inducement to join up, and although they might not be able to replace British troops outside Africa, they would definitely ease the burden on our manpower."

Britain cannot hold India anyway — therefore . . enlist West and East Africans to 'help us (imperialism!) out." This is sugar coated as follows:

"Develop the African

Colonies—Concentrate, in co-operation with France, on the development of our African colonies so as to create the conditions for African freedom and ...to decrease European depend-ence on America."

The attitude of these "lefts" on The attitude of these "lefts" on the Colonial question is imbued with such petty reformist conception throughout. Unable to grasp any conception outside of a capitalist-imperialist policy, they are incapable of understanding that a geneuine socialist government's policy towards the colonial proples, would be, as its first step, the freeing of the colonial countries the freeing of the colonial countries from the voke of British imperialist oppression. The progress towards a socialist system in these backward countries would be are and the workers take their backward countries would be are as a social system in these backward countries would be are as a social system in these backward countries would be are as a social system in these backward countries would be are as a social system in these backward countries would be are as a social system in the second system in the

a truly free colonial people, upon whose soil there will not remain

a single British bayonet. But instead of demanding the withdrawal of British troops from abroad, their only plea is for the release of an extra 300,000 from the forces by March, 1948, in order to reduce the shortage of labour. They have no principled opposition to the Conscription measures of the Labour Government apart from a mild criticism of the length of service involved. This, before the Labour Government reduced the period of conscript service from 18 to 12 mouths.

Their home policy contains no more socialism than does their foreign policy. No protest against the lavish compensation to the capitalists. No protest against the state capitalist nationalisations. No demand for the Confiscation of profits, and no call for workers' control of industry. Not one of these demands are advocated by these self-styled "Lefts", yet they form the first elements of a form the first socialist policy.

If all the measures advocated by the writers are adopted they can no more prevent slump and war than the present programme and policy of the Labour Government. than the There is no fundamental difference between them. A bit more effort from the workers here, a pruning of British commitments there, an attempt to line up the nations of Western Europe behind Britain; all this is simply utopian as a solution to the problems facing the British and world working class

The workers in the Labour Party and Trade Unions must demand a complete break with the policies of the past, Partial measures of nationalisation with lavish compensation will help to make capitalism as a whole work more efficiently, but they cannot solve the problems of decrepit

British capitalism. The organisation of a Socialist Britain within a Socialist United States of Europe would lead to the genuine integration of European economy for the benefit of ail. But this will be achieved only if eapitalism is completely abolished their fate

'Give Us Bread -Or Coffins'

By N. PENTLAND

Within a month of the Ruhr miners demonstrating for food, strikes on an even greater scale have taken place in Hamburg. During the past few weeks, the food rations, far from being honoured or increased, have been lowered.

In the Ruhr, scene of previous strikes, a news ban on the food situation has been imposed by the Allied Control Commission. News that has leaked out describes the situation "as its worst and longest food crisis to date." Dablic relationship of the Workers' Council condemned the destruction of food food crisis to date." Public relations officers have been instructed to make no statements before the Control Commission has censored

On Friday, May 9th, 150,000 Hamburg workers struck work for several hours, to march in protest against the scale of food rations. Only workers on food production and distribution stayed at work. Headed by the Bloehm and Voss Shipyard workers, carrying banners which proclaimed: "GIVE US BREAD — OR COFFINS". al sections of the working class took part in the general strike—the first since pre-Hitler days. Even circus performers marched in protest with

the workers. The Chairman of the Hamburg Trades Council, addressing a huge demonstration, demanded that the Trade Unions should be given power to seize hoarded food stocks for distribution under their guidance.

A further demand was for the democratisation of the "Nazified" food offices, and administration in

Starvation Level

The bread ration, recently cut to 52½ ozs. from 87½ ozs. per week, has not been restored. The average number of calories per day is between 700 and 800. Even the official 1,500 calories is described omedical authorities as "just sufficient to maintain normal health." In Essen, only 741 calories per day is being provided. This is mainly made up of bread. Miners, who are supposed to receive special food privileges as ceive special food privileges as incentives to reach the 300,000 and brought the Nazis to power.

After a previous sit-down strike at Bloehm and Voss works, a member of the Workers' Council condemned the destruction of food in the U.S.A. while German women and children starved.

Workers Not Demoralised

The strikes have demonstrated that the German workers have not been demoralised by eleven years of Hitler's regime. They have still faith in their own powers of organisation and fighting ability. As the "Economist" of May 10th states:

"The miners are pressing also for much fuller participation in administration of the mines. The idea of workers' control still has many adherents."

British workers must support the tendency of the rank and file German trade unionists to demand nationalisation and their tendency towards workers' control of industry and trade union control of food sectioning. rationing.

In the interests of the struggle for socialism, the British and world working class must assist their German brothers in their protests against the starvation imposed upon them by the criminal policies of the occupying powers. The re-awakening of the militant spirit of struggle of the German working class is a factor of world importance. Properly led it could lead to the victory of the socialist revolution not only in Germany, revolution not only in Germany but all Europe.

The best assistance which the British workers can give is to demand the withdrawal of all occu pation troops from Germany. Let the troops be withdrawn imme-diately and the German workers will know how to settle accounts with the capitalists who financed

STALIN-STASSEN

power of the proletariat in one does not mean to guarantee the complete victory of socialism. The chief task of socialism—the organisation of socialist production—lies still ahead. Can this task still be anead. Can this task still be accomplished? Is it possible to attain the final victory of socialism in one country, without the combined efforts of the proletarians of several countries? No it is not. The efforts of one country are enough for the overcountry are enough for the over-throw of the bourgeoisie—this is what the history of our revolu-tion tells us. For the final victory of socialism, for the organisation of socialist produc-tion, the efforts of one country, especially a peasant country like Russia, are not enough—for this we must have the efforts of the proletarians of several countries. Such in general are the characteristic features of the Leninist theory of the proletarian revo-lution." (Emphasis ours).

It Is Not A Question Of

Changed Circumstances The above excerpts are sufficient to expose the hollow argument that we are living in a different period from that of Lenin, "circumstances have changed." The counterposing of Stalin's two positions in a few short months shows clearly how he broke with the "charac-teristic features of Leninist

theory."

Nor is there any more Leninism in the method of "diplomatic" formulae to conceal the real aims of the resolutions and the real aims. of the revolutionary leadership. In this only the workers could be deceived, never the bourgeoisie. in any case it is impermissible to proclaim one set of aims to conceal one's real aims. It is a tragedy that there are still so many fine militants in all countries who fine militants in all countries who still believe that the Communist Parties, i.e., international Stalinism, has the socialist revolution for an objective. The reformist conception that British capitalism can evolve peacefully into socialism under the leadership of the Social-Democrats must, however, cause some heart-searching among those with any knowledge of the traditions of the communist movement. tions of the communist movement. In its implication it can mean no less than that there is no longer any need for a communist party. This is, in fact, in line with Stalin's dissolution of the Communist International and, following it, the dissolution of the American C.P. for which Browder was made the scapegoat.

Bolshevism Always Says What Is

Nowhere in the arsenal of Leninism can opportunist deception be found. The opposite is true.

Woolwich Arsenal:

Workers Fight Sackings

making mining machinery towards the expropriation of the banner of the revolutionary, comand other basic equipment for for nationalised industries.

However, the differences in in comes existing in Russia today are growing in the opposite direction. Under Stalin's rule, the system of will follow. of dismissal at Whitsun involves ann workers. It is expected that more

A resolution was unanimously carried which demands the immediate withdrawal of the impending reductions in labour strength, Spokesmen hit the Labour Government for pandering to private' enterprise.

Brother Bill Eillott, Convenor from Enfield Royal Ordnance Factory said that the two Factory said that the two factories should link together in this struggle.

A resolution was *put to the meeting which called for a ballot vote to be held within 2 days in support of a mass demonstration on Tuesday, 20th may, at (during working hours) to march to Parliament. This was also other Tuesday, 20th May, at 3 p.m. R.O.F.'s are asked to give support.

A special conference of factory representatives of all R.O.F.'s should be convened to prepare immediate action against redund ancy. The Government must be forced to utilise the R.O.F.'s solely for peace-time products as The Government must

All Trade Unionists should support these 9,000 Arsenal workers.

CROYDON BRANCH R.C.P. COME AND HEAR
THE POLICY OF THE PARTY
AT OUR OPEN AIR
MEETINGS!

Every Saturday at 6.30 p.m. KATHARINE STREET Outside Town Hall CROYDON

DISCUSSION Group. Wednesday May 26th, at 7.30 p.m., at 263, Wanstead Park Road. Hord. Nationalisation." Speaker: Ted Grant. All Welcome.

For example, The "Declaration of the Rights of the Toilers and the Exploited Peoples"— the fundasocial-democracy the German Attlees mental state programme introduced and Bevins of that time—was a in the name of the soviet power tragedy for the international workinto the Constituent Assembly—
proclaimed the task of the new
structure to be "the establishment
of a socialist organisation of Europe which is responsible for a society and the victory of social-ism in all countries. . . The soviet power will proceed resoutely along this road until the complete opponents of Soviet regimes and victory of the international workers' insurrection against the collaboration. In return they ask yoke of capital." And in those days the soviet state had just come into being. It was terribly and bore a very different relationship to world imperialism than does the Soviet Union of Stalin which seeks to leave the regimes of the capitalist countries intact of the capitalist countries intact which seeks to leave the regimes of the capitalist countries intact in return for pacts.

The Levilite Tender of Stalin and Stassen it would seem that the Kremlin even has hopes of "neutralising" the American Republic representatives of highest particular than the Kremlin even has seem that the Kremlin even has one of the hopes of "neutralising" the American Republic representatives of highest particular than the Kremlin even has seem that the Kremlin even has

The Leninist conception that the revolution could survive only as part of the world revolution is being vindicated. For whilst the statified property and the planned economy made possible by it have not been destroyed either by inner convulsions or by outside intervention, all else which the October Revolution of 1917 ushered in has been destroyed by the Stalinist regime.

"We are only one of the revolu tionary detachments of the working the world revolution is not an class", Lenin told a session of the world revolution is not an utopian ideal but a material necessity. The proletarian revolution is directed both against cause we are better than others, private property in the means of but precisely because we were one production and against the the world . . . we will arrive at complete victory only together with all the workers of other countries, the workers of the whole world." Indeed, without the aid of the toilers of other lands, the Russian attainable. Internationalism is not an abstract principle. It is the revolution would most likely have been destroyed by imperialist intervention in its early months and is international. Socialism, pro-years. It was the revolts of the ceeding from the world-wide French sailors in the Black Sea, the German revolution which overthrew the Hohenzollen dynasty, the "Hands Off Russia" campaign of the British workers of th the British workers, similar actions of the workers which prevented the international capitalist reaction, led by Churchill, from strangling Workers' Republic.

Stalinism Perpetuates The Betrayals Of

tragic repetition of the betrayal of the struggle for socialism. It is they who are the foremost only the reward of a turn toward Moscow in the foreign policies of the respective capitalist ruling classes. From the discourse beof big business! Certainly they are willing for any deal, no matter at what cost to the working class.

Revolution: Romanticism Or Realism?

Pollitt and the Stalinist leaders here, seek to counter our critic-isms with cheap sneers about revolutionary "romanticism". But it is not we who are the dreamers. and against the of the most backward countries in splitting-up of world economy on a national basis. The creation of "national" socialist regimes, such expression of an economic need. capitalism is national, so socialism division of labour, has as its task of goods and services to its highest development. It is just this which Trotskyism stands for.

To deceive the toilers into believing that capitalism and socialism can live peacefully side by side is a criminal betrayal of the working class and the struggle for socialism. The lines of divergence between Stalinism and Social-Democracy
The betrayal of the German re-Stalin-Stassen talks.

4th International **Manifesto**

(Continued from Page 3.) Will you allow those who drew you into five years of war "for the four of reedoms" to deny the most ele-About 1.500 Woolwich
Arsenal workers met at an overflow meeting on 13th
May to demand that the apother will cortainly reduce your message of hope to the German workers. It reminds you that in masses? It reminds you that in message of hope to the German workers. It reminds you that in May to demand that the another, will certainly reduce your or nationalised industries.

The latest number to be notified f dismissal at Whitsun involves.

French Workers!

Your capitalist bosses and their reformist and Stalinist collaborators tell you that without the reconstruction of economy of your country is impossible. In reality the obstacle to this reconstruction is the greediness of your Two Hundred ramilies, the sordid egoism of your capitalist masters, the rotten corruption of their government apparatus, the complete decadence of French capitalism. Those who hope to distract your attention towards the Ruhr coal only hope in that way to perpetuate their own bloody filthy rule, your own growing misery and the violent domination of the French and colonial the wounds, preserve and elevat masses. You who have under the Nazi occupation, felt the weight of

During the occupation of the

Ruhr by Poincare's troops in 1923 the Communist Parties of all lands gave a magnificent example of working class solidarity and thus furnished striking proof of the realism of international united action of the working class in the face of the bloody quarrels of its imperialist masters. Today the Stalinist parties in every country carry out an ultra-chauvinist policy and oppose each other by defending the particular interests of "their country side by side with their

The Fourth International, which fights with all its strength against the Stalinist bureaucracy's vile the Stalinist bureaucracy's vile prostitution of the ideas of Communism, proudly lays claim to the revolutionary tradition of Lenin's Comintern. It calls upon you, in the spirit of that tradition, in the spirit of the militant fraternity that was forged in the concentra-tion camps between your German comrades and yourselves, to join

your efforts to those of the German workers in combatting the occu-pation of Germany, the annexation its provinces and all the

May to demand that the Government stop all sackings at this factory, and that plants should be used for

plunder and dismemberment of your You have only enemy: the German and world exploiters. Only one ally: the oppressed masses of the entire

The crimes committed by the occupying powers in Germany equal those committed by Hitler in Europe. They are unanswerable proof of the sordid barbarism to-wards which the outlived capitalist regime is dragging us. Today the struggle for elementary human dignity, for the most simple democratic rights can only succeed by the victory of the proletarian revolution. Only a Socialist Germany in a Socialist Europe can liquidate the remains of Fascism, clear away the ruins, heal human culture and technique unprecedented levels and tra-form your present Calvary in Nazi occupation, felt the weight imperialist oppression cannot tolerate the extension of the same slavery over the German workers!

Communist Workers of factorial form your present Calvary in form your present Calvary i aid of the workers of the world you, the worker country where Scientific Socialism was born, will find the road to-wards the Socialist revolution. Hitler threw Europe and his own country into the abos of destruc-tion. The German working class will show humanty that it is capable of lifting its country and the whole conting it to a new and wondrous level of peace and well-being!

Long Live the International Solidarity of the Working Class!

Long Live the German Revolution!

Long Live the United Socialist Soviet States of Europe and the World!

The International Executive Committee of the Fourth International. April 1947. The Sections of the International:

Published by E. Grant, 256, Harrow Road, London, W.2 Printed in Gt. Britain by C. A. Brock & Co. Ltd., (T.U.), W.10.

Inequalities in Russia (Continued from Page 1.) And facts are stubborn

Worker" and J. R. Campbell, would have been justified in their assertion. But the facts are different. Changes Under Stalin

Let us begin with the government officials. According to the decision of the Supreme Council of the U.S.S.R. of the 17th January, 1938, the President of the Gouncil of the Union as well as Council of the Union as well as Gaunoil of at least 1 milion roubles, and January, 1958, the President of the Council of the Union as well as the President of the Council of Nations, as well as their Vice-Presidents, receive an annual income of 300,000 roubles. The President of the Supreme Soviet of the RSESR. as the other as the other members of the Union, with their will receive 150,000 r year. The deputies roubles per year. to the Supreme Soviets will receive roubles per month-i.e., 12,000 roubles per year, besides 150 roubles for each day in

Now we must not forget that in the same year the average income according to the official statistics of the Russian Government, including every worker and employee i.e., from the woman who scrubs the floor to the head of the Government, was in 1938, 3,467 roubles. (Russian Statistical Year Book,

As regards the income of the managers of industry, heads of

Invaluable to Speakers

Do You Get the -"SPEAKERS'

INFORMATION SERVICE"?

Facts, Statistics and Information for Speakers - Circulated

Monthly to Individual Subscribers. Terms: 12 months - 5/-6 months - 3/-

Write to:

G. Noseda, c/o 256, Harrow Road, Paddington, W.7.

Available to members and sympathisers of the R.C.P. Kolkhoz, etc., Soviet statistics are much harder to obtain, for the simple reason that the real inequalities of Stalinist Russia are so glaring that the bureaucracy "Citizens of the U.S.S.R. have difference with the statistics are bureaucracy with the statistics are so glaring that the bureaucracy "Citizens of the U.S.S.R. have difference with the statistics are greatly violates another form (1 articles, services, etc.). At this stage, a worker who works 8 hours will receive double the amount of a worker who works 4 hours. For skilled work, the takes pains to conceal them under the old capitalist trick of "averages". If the wages are just rewards for services rendered

more enthusiastic work of the few chosen ones. The existing Five Year Plan promises that at the end of it, the average income of every worker and employee in Russia will be 7.531 roubles. Such an average worker, in order to save a million roubles would have to work a hundred years without spending anything on food,

clothing, etc. When an investor of a loan of 1 million roubles receives 40,000 roubles. Only those roubles per annum interest, i.e., many times more than the income of the "average" worker, is it "a of the subjects—i.e., an insignificant true reward for service" to society? Certainly, it is not the fruits of the toil of the one who receives the students of higher colleges who are interest; it is the fruits of the toil

Equality Of Opportunity

J. R. Campbell does not satisfy

imself in saying: "The higher paid worker is making a greater contribution to the wealth of the community."

"There must, however, be equality of opportunity, so that everyone can improve their skill.

"As high education becomes more diffused, and as the number of skilled people grows, it may be possible, without destroying incentive, to lessen the gaps between the highest and the lowest incomes."

Perhaps in order to achieve this the Decree of 4th April, 1940, lays down that no taxes are to be imposed on inheritances, and no income tax is to be levied on incomes from saving bank deposits, in order to give "equality of opportunity" to everyone, let him be the son of a manager of industry, or an eminent ballerina on the one hand, or a simple worker or housemaid on the other—perhaps for this, the Decree of the 2nd October, 1940, imposed very high education fees in secondary schools

come a necessity to the individual, just as recreation, etc. Certainly, humanity cannot reach this stage would abolish this "capitalist immediately after overthrowing in the direction of equality. But capitalist society prevent the passage immediately to this stage. The law applying to the transitional stage would be that the same amount of labour which an individual, just as recreation, etc. Certainly, humanity cannot reach this stage would abolish this "capitalist in the direction of equality." But the differences have now reached almost incredible proportions, and the "Daily Worker" wants us to believe that this is all according to the laws of socialism. Even the rawest worker would smell some

'Daily Worker' Defends

"Citizens of the U.S.S.R. have be right to education. This the right to education. This right is ensured by universal, compulsory, elementary educa-tion; by education, including higher education, being free of charge; by the system of stipends for the overwhelming majority of the students in the universities and colleges."

Notwithstanding this, and notwithstanding Article 146 which demands that at least a two-thirds vote in the Supreme Soviet is re hundred thousand roubles, this quired for any amendment to the certainly showed an inequality that cannot be explained only by the violated by the Government without even formally consulting the Supreme Soviet.

> The fees imposed by the Decree of 2nd October, 1940, are very high: in secondary schools 150 roubles per year; higher education institutes in Moscow, Leningrad and other capitals of the Union Republics—400 roubles; in other towns 300 roubles; and in the higher institutes of art, theatre, and music, 500 roubles. Only those

excellent in their studies. The immediate result of the Decree imposing fees was that about six hundred thousand, i.e., about every third student left

So much for the "equality of opportunity" under Stalin which Campbell wants to pass off under the title of Socialism!

Towards Communism Or Capitalism?

The editors of the "Daily Worker" want the workers to be-lieve that Russia is in the transi tional stage from socialism to communism. Under communism, Marx declared, the law of distribu tion will be "from each according to his ability, to each according to his needs." In this stage, the his needs." In this stage, the material wealth of society will be so high, and the moral and intel lectual level such, that labour will cease to be a burden and will be come a necessity to the individual, education fees in secondary schools dividual will give to society in one rawest worker would and universities. By the way, this form, he will receive from it in thing wrong with this.

difference would be according to the difficulty in acquiring the skill. However, because society will give possibilities of education, technical schooling, etc., free of charge, the difference between income of a skilled worker and an unskilled, between mental and manual, will be much smaller than the differences existing between those same workers under constraints. workers under capitalism. Already in the time of Lenin, the differ ences were much smaller than ences were mu under the Czar.

distribution of the national income. marks a tendency not to socialism or communism, but towards the worst differentiations under capital-

Many Communist and Socialist workers are obviously shocked and perplexed by the stories published in the capitalist press about the tremendous inequalities in Russia. Instinctively they realise that there must be something wrong with a society which calls itself socialist, and yet where the differences between the manages and workers are greater than under capitalism And this, it will be noticed, is not denied by Campbell and the others. It is to try and counteract this growing perplexity that the "Daily Worker" has published the series Worker" has published the series of articles. But communist workers will not be reassured by the "Daily Worker."

The Stalinist press is compelled to lie in order to justify the anti-socialist, anti-Marxist policies of Stalinism. Campbell pretends that the differentiation is strictly in accordance with the practice of Lenin. In reality Lenin and Marx had anticipated a rapid disappear-ance of differences, which would n any case be strictly limited with he coming to power of the working class, and would gradually disappear with the passing over to ommunism.

When the differences between specialists and workers were introduced, Lenin called these differences by their right name: capitalist. At that time the difference was 4 to 1. He openly called this a concession to capitalism imposed on Russia by her isolation and backwardness. He anticipated that as time passed the Bolsheviks would abolish this "capitalist rawest worker would smell some